

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/679,525	WANG, MICHAEL SUJUE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shi K. Li	2613	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Shi K. Li.

(3) _____.

 (2) Walter J. Tencza Jr..

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 December 2006
Time: 1:00 p.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

21-22

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner explains that claims 21-22 do not read on the elected species 11 because they depend on claim 20 which does not read on species 11. We have agreed that claims 21-22 do not read on the elected species and should be withdrawn from consideration as directed to non-elected species..